

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		220352US0	4778	
OBLON, SP	03/05/2002 7590 03/09/2004	Toshihiko Kawakubo	EXAMINER		
	PIVAK, MCCLELLAND STREET), MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	HARLAN, I	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		1713 DATE MAILED: 03/09/200)4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	00.			
Office Action Summary		10/087,821		KAWAKUBO ET AL.	UU			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert D. H		1713				
	NAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
Period for Reply				0) 50014				
THE MAILIN - Extensions of the after SIX (6) Minus - If the period for seriod for seriod for the period for seriod for seriod for the period for the period for seriod for the mainus for the mainus for the mainus for the period for the mainus for the period for the mainus fo	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FO G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO me may be available under the provisions of DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu reply specified above is less than thirty (30) reply is specified above, the maximum state within the set or extended period for reply we ved by the Office later than three months afterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. days, a reply within the statute with year of the statute of the statute cause the application.	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1) Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed	I on 09 December 200	03.					
,	OLD This patients non-final							
3) ☐ Since	the state of the marity is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election re	quirement.					
Application Pa	pers							
9)∐ The sp	ecification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applic	ant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replac	cement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is require	d if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oa	ath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form PTC)-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Ackno a)⊠ All 1.⊠	Certified copies of the priority	documents have beer	n received.					
2.	Certified copies of the priority							
3. 🗌	Copies of the certified copies			red in this National S	tage			
	application from the Internation							
* See the	e attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certif	ied copies not receiv	ed.				
A44								
Attachment(s)	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	153)			
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-	132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Amendment filed by Applicant on 12/09/03 has been entered.
- 2. New claim 10 has been added.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

3. Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on 12/09/03 have been fully considered and they are found unpersuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. First the process claims reads as two separate processes. One step comprising polymerizing vinyl chloride in an aqueous medium in a vessel fitted with a reflux condenser and

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821

Art Unit: 1713

another step comprising "starting to add" an anti-foam agent and a partially saponified polyvinyl alcohol. There is no mentioning of vinyl chloride in the second process.

Furthermore, "the polymerization system" and "the recovery of unreacted monomers" lack an antecedent basis. Also, what does "starting to add" mean? Why not simply say adding or add?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wierer et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,314,530 (hereinafter "Wierer"). Wierer teaches a process for preparing under emulsion or suspension polymerization conditions, vinyl chloride using an anti-foam agent, polyvinyl alcohol, and in some cases an olefinic comonomer. See Wierer, Abstract; col. 3, lines 21-27; col. 5, lines 22-42; Example 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

8. The Applicants argue Wierer teaches a foam stabilizer, not an anti-foam agent. Also the foam stabilizer is added after the polymerization to a aqueous dispersion containing the polymer. First, how does a foam stabilizer differ from an anti-foam agent? The purpose of both are to minimize the production of foam. The Examiner contends that the two terms are in fact synonymous and have the same purpose. With respect to the addition of the anti-foam agent, what difference does it make if the foam stabilizer is added before, during or after, where the purpose—to minimize foam is reached. How is the time of addition critical? The examiner contends that the addition of the foam stabilizer is not critical if the production of foam is minimize.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821

Art Unit: 1713

10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

11. Claim 3 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wierer in view of Coleman, U.S. Patent No. 4,230,843 (hereinafter "Coleman"). The present claim 3 differs from Wierer in that Wierer does not teach the use of a silicone anti-foam agent. Silicon anti-foaming agents PVC preparations are common as exemplified in the examples section. See Coleman, col. 17, line 6. In view of Coleman, one having an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Wierer by using a silicone anti-foam agent. Such modification would be obvious because one would expect that PVC polymerizations as taught by Wierer would be similarly useful and applicable to the polymerizations taught in Coleman.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821 Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

Conclusion

- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 273-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,821 Page 7

Art Unit: 1713

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh March 3, 2004